

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [SizewellC](#)  
**Subject:** EDF/Sizewell C Ref Mr & Mrs Lacey [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 30 September 2021 21:25:57  
**Importance:** High

---

To the Planning Inspectorate

Throughout all the Consultation processes EDF have continued to fail to address any of our concerns, or provide us with any information in writing, or any detailed and accurately measured drawings, either voluntarily or when we have asked on numerous occasions. EDF's blatant disregard remains to be continually pronounced.

The latest 2 examples being:

Example 1: **FORDLEY ROAD SLR JUNCTION**

EDF produced the first 'sketch' on 9<sup>th</sup> September 2021, nothing more than one very simple unmeasured hand drawn sketch indicating how the road junction 'could' be moved a few meters in an easterly direction, which would be completely inadequate and ineffective, and failed to address our continued major concerns.

The Fordley Road current SLR junction proposal fails to mitigate the serious problem of rat running, road and property blocking. A blatant disregard of such serious concerns is abhorrent.

IF THE SLR IS BUILT RAT RUNNING IN FORDLEY ROAD WILL BE A VERY SERIOUS HEALTH AND SAFETY CONCERN AND A DANGER TO LIFE AND PROPERTY FOR MR & MRS LACEY, WHICH MUST BE FULLY ADDRESSED AGREED AND MITIGATED. THE ONLY SAFE OPTION TO PREVENT THE HUGE AND OUTSTANDING CONCERNS AND CONSEQUENCES OF RAT RUNNING THROUGH KELSEA VILLAGE, BUTCHERS ROAD AND FORDLEY ROAD IS TO STOP UP FORDLEY ROAD NORTH AND SOUTH TO PROVIDE SAFETY TO LIFE AND PROPERTY AND PROVIDE WELL BEING FOR ALL AFFECTED RESIDENTS.

Example 2: **LANDSCAPING/AIR POLLUTION AND NOISE MITIGATION**

EDF provided a meaningless summary of a general information planting guide, which has not been quantified or cross referenced to a detailed landscaping drawing, and is therefore deemed not fit for purpose. EDF have not provided reports/mitigation for Air Pollution and Noise Mitigation, having requested this information numerous times. This is far from acceptable and completely inadequate.

As significant Key Stakeholder's it is to be expected all raised major concerns should be addressed with appropriate mitigating measures put in place by EDF EDF have been frequently asked by Mr & Mrs Lacey to provide a full explanation as to why they are failing to provide any detailed mitigation documents for review as requested on numerous occasions.

Mr & Mrs Lacey most recently requested an urgent response from EDF that continued very serious outstanding safety concerns are fully and accurately addressed with adequate measured mitigation proposals provided to us prior to Deadline 8 as mentioned in the agenda provided below – but EDF to date, and

over the years of Consultations have failed to provide anything at all. At this extremely late stage in the DCO process it is now apparent that currently EDF are continuing to blatantly evade anything affirmative to Mr & Mrs Lacey. How can EDF deem this to be acceptable?

### **TO SUMMARISE**

#### **AIM**

- **To resolve the outstanding areas of major adverse significance, inclusive of all previous correspondence listing areas of concern from Mr & Mrs Lacey to the Applicant.**
- **To obtain a full and complete agreement between the Applicant and Mr & Mrs Lacey.**

#### **FORDLEY ROAD**

- The Applicant is aware the current Fordley Road SLR junction proposal provides major adverse and significant safety issues to life and property for Mr & Mrs Lacey.
- The Applicant is aware Stopping up Fordley Road both North and South will eliminate major adverse effects.
- The Applicant to provide a full and detailed Road/Highways Management Plan covering the stopping up of Fordley Road.

#### **LANDSCAPING PROPOSALS**

- Full and detailed mitigation to include a revised Mitigation Plan covering the stopping up of Fordley Road, North and South, to be provided.
- To include bunding and acoustic fencing.
- To include evergreen trees, of any acceptable size, within a detailed and agreed landscape proposal.
- The Applicant to provide a full and detailed Landscape Management Programme for the duration of the build of the SLR.

#### **NOISE**

- Full and detailed mitigation to be discussed in more detail.
- Rec 19 exact location confirmation with full and detailed report to be provided to Mr & Mrs Lacey.
- Quiet Road surface to be used for the SLR.
- Applicant to provide a full and detailed Noise Monitoring Programme covering Oakfield House, starting with immediate effect and for the full duration of the build of the SLR.

#### **AIR QUALITY**

- To include PM10, PM2.5, Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring by use of a suitable calibrated device.
- To include dust monitoring
- The Applicant to provide to Mr & Mrs Lacey a full and detailed Air Quality Monitoring programme covering Oakfield House, to include the prevention of poor air quality from HGV/vehicle emissions using the SLR, to start with immediate effect and for the full duration of the build of the SLR. The Applicant has previously been

made fully aware [REDACTED]

#### **APPLICANT RESPONSIBILITY TO THE STAKEHOLDER**

- The Applicant is responsible for the preparation and provision of an Environment Statement, inclusive of comparisons, specifically covering the surrounding areas of Oakfield House, Fordley Road and SLR.
- To ensure the completeness and quality of the Environmental Statement, covering the impacts of significance and affects for Mr & Mrs Lacey, as listed in this Agenda. The Applicant must provide the expertise and qualifications of such experts, with such reports prior to Deadline 8.
- **The Applicant to provide a full and detailed report to Mr & Mrs Lacey regarding the potential effects for Mr & Mrs Lacey and Oakfield House, reference the Cumulative and Residual Impact Assessment.**
- **The Applicant to provide Mr & Mrs Lacey an ongoing Stakeholder Management Plan inclusive of the above, for the full duration of construction of Sizewell C and the SLR.**
- **The Applicant to provide a full and detailed Compensation Plan, for Mr & Mrs Lacey to review, prior to Deadline 8**
- **The deliverability of all of the above mentioned, is solely the Applicant's responsibility to the Stakeholder and therefore it is a request from Mr & Mrs Lacey to EDF/NNB (SCZ), the final agreement to the above mentioned subjects are to be included within the DCO process.**

#### **TO CONCLUDE**

-

**Mr & Mrs Lacey have not received a response to any of the above, all items mentioned remain outstanding.**

- One of the major outstanding matters which has not been resolved in Deadline 8 by EDF, is the extremely concerning issue of vehicles blocking our property with vehicle congestion at the Fordley Road SLR junction on a daily basis. Risk to life and property is completely unacceptable and cannot be allowed to happen.
- Remaining outstanding major mitigation issues are: air pollution, noise pollution and landscaping reports and full and detailed mitigation. All of which must be covered and agreed within the DCO period as Deeds of Obligation.
- A full and detailed agreed compensation clause to also be included within the DCO period as a Deed of Obligation, which remains outstanding.
- We firmly request for EDF liaise and work with Mr & Mrs Lacey to resolve the above outstanding major issues, with urgency, to be jointly agreed, and actioned. There is currently a long way to go, with major time and effort needed to achieve an agreeable way forward.

**It is therefore a strong request that the Planning Inspectorate gain confirmation from EDF that all outstanding matters previously raised by key stakeholders Mr & Mrs Lacey will be addressed, mutually agreed and fully mitigated within the DCO period as Deeds of Obligation.**

For any further information regarding the above outstanding issues please refer to our previous correspondence to the Planning Inspectorate.

Yours sincerely

Mr & Mrs Lacey